Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,729	BAUMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O. Savage	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Matthew O. Savage</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frederick J. Dorchak</u> .	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 22 April 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nnt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed: 26, 30, 31-35, 38, and 39 Prior art documents discussed:		
DE 3,903,675, of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 26 to patently distinguish over the DE '675 reference. Agreed to amend claim 30 to specify the "spring elastic web" of the elected species shown in FIG. 5 in order to provide structure to enable the pin to be mounted in a radially flexible manner as recited in the claim. Agreed to cancel non-elected claims 31-35 of which were not considered to be readable upon the elected species shown in FIG. 5. The examiner agreed to allow non-elected claims 38 and 39 of which were considered to be generic to the elected species shown in FIG. 5. Agreed to change "integral" to --designed in one piece-- in order to correspond to the language used on lines 22-24 of page 15 of the specification. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.